



RFP # 24-011

Livable Centers Initiative Major Update

ADDENDUM # 1

The following addendum amends or modifies the solicitation terms, conditions, and/or specifications as originally issued. Listed below are answers to questions submitted regarding the Livable Centers Initiative Major Update RFP.

This Addendum is issued to modify or interpret the RFP documents. Bidders are hereby instructed that the terms and specifications for the above referenced project are modified, corrected, or/and supplemented as hereinafter described. Bidders are hereby instructed to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum.

1. Is there a budget for the update that can be shared?

The maximum budget for this project is \$200,000.

2. What is driving the 18-month schedule? Can a shorter timeframe be proposed to protect budget and efficiency?

A shorter timeframe meeting all the requirements will be allowed. The timeframe is per the City's agreement with the Atlanta Regional Commission per the grant.

3. Will the city co-lead the community engagement?

City Staff will be available to attend the major community engagement meeting(s), but they are to be led by the consultant.

4. Will the city provide access to existing data (e.g., GIS files, housing studies, traffic studies, or community surveys)?

Yes, the City will provide GIS files. The City does not have specific housing or traffic studies to share at this time.

Initial to acknowledge and include with submittal: _____

5. Is there a repository of past plans and reports beyond the 2016 “Springs in Motion” plan that should be reviewed (e.g., transportation studies, zoning maps)?

The City has uploaded previous plans to the Planning website at <https://www.cityofpowdersprings.org/669/Planning-Documents>

6. Are there specific funding opportunities or grants the city plans to pursue based on the plan’s recommendations?

This should be identified by the prepared plan.

7. Will there be SBE or MBE requirements?

The RFP does not outline SBE or MBE requirements.

8. Can the City clarify what specific information they would like provided in regards to requirement E.1.l. – “Provide complete project history for minimum of four (4) similar projects” – as listed in the Proposal Response Guidelines on page 2 of the Request for Proposals (RFP)?

E. 1. l is intended for the bidder to highlight similar projects and provide any information that demonstrates that the project was successfully completed and illustrate any successes or setbacks of the similar projects.

9. In addition, can the City clarify how these projects and information should differ from the similar project experience included to satisfy requirement E.1.g. – “Description of similar project experience and the names, addresses, and telephone number of owners for all projects described” – on the same page of the RFP?

E. 1. g on this page is intended for the applicant to provide similar projects along with contact information for references. The City will contact these listed references.